

MYSORE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY.

SIXTH DAY.

Monday, 3rd March 1958.

The House met in the Assembly Hall, Vidhana Soudha, at One of the Clock.

MR. SPEAKER (SRI S. R. KANTHI, B.A., LL.B.) in the Chair.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Suspension of the Inspector-General of Prisons in Mysore.

Q.—1355. Sri G. DUGGAPPA (Chitaldrug).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the date when Sri B. Thimmaraj Urs, Inspector-General of Prisons in Mysore, was placed under suspension, together with the charges levelled against him;

(b) the complaints, if any, made to the Special Officer, Anti-Corruption and Efficiency Audit, if so, when and by whom;

(c) the number of Police Officers involved in this complaint?

A.—Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—

(a) and (b) Sri B. Thimmaraj Urs was placed under suspension on 29th June 1957. As the whole matter is under enquiry, it is not in public interest to disclose any information at this stage.

(c) Three.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—The answer is dated 5th September 1957. May I know whether the enquiry is complete by now?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I am not prepared to say anything in this matter now because it is not in public interest to do so.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—My question is very simple and it is whether the enquiry is complete by now.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—The matter is not complete now.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—My question is whether the enquiry is complete by now.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I am not prepared to answer that question categorically. All I can say is that the matter is not complete.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—May I know whether it is a judicial enquiry or a departmental enquiry or an enquiry conducted by the Anti-Corruption Department?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—It is a departmental enquiry.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—If it is a departmental enquiry, who was the officer who conducted the enquiry?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—It cannot be given in public interest.

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—May I know whether any time is fixed for the enquiry?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—No time is fixed.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—At what stage is the enquiry pending?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I cannot give that information.

MR. SPEAKER.—What information cannot be given?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—This information cannot be given because he is an officer and as such I am not in a position to give that information.

MR. SPEAKER.—Does he mean that he cannot give that information in public interest?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I said so in the beginning.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—Is the public interest served by taking so much time to dispose of a case of this nature?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—It is a matter of opinion.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—May I know whether an officer can be kept under suspension indefinitely like this? Is there not a Government Order or a convention that a man cannot be kept under suspension normally for more than 3 months?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—My friend must know it better. If 3 months' time is not sufficient, Government may take more time.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Is there any Government Order under which the Government claim immunity from revealing the details at this stage?

Mr. SPEAKER.—If they are of a confidential nature, they need not be disclosed.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—The enquiry against an officer cannot be confidential.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Here, I have to repeat the law again and the law says that if the matter is of a confidential nature, I cannot compel the Government to give reply. Whether it is confidential or not, it is not for me to judge. That is the difficulty with me.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—When once the question is admitted and it is proposed to be answered by the Government the answers should be given; the question could have been easily shut out.

Mr. SPEAKER.—They cannot shut out the question. It is my office which can do it. If I allow a question, it must be answered. If it is of a confidential nature, I cannot compel the Government to give a reply.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—There is no answer at all except that they claim immunity from answering this question.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is why I asked whether the information could not be given in public interest or whether it was of a confidential nature. They say it is both.

Sri G. ANNA RAO.—Since this matter is taking unconscionably long time, may I know whether the evidence so

far adduced is not enough to substantiate the charge and therefore further enquiry is going to take place?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—My friend has practised law for some time. I have also done so. He knows that I cannot give this information.

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.—While suspending this officer, were not charges framed?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—Of course, charges are usually framed and they were framed in this case also.

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.—Were not those charges made public by Government at the time of making those charges?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—No, they have not been made public.

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.—Is it not a fact that those charges appeared in the press?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I am not aware of it. If they have appeared in the press, my friend may bring it to my notice.

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.—Is it not a fact that the name of the officer to whom this enquiry was entrusted was also published in the press?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—That was unfortunate.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—May I know the status of the other two officers?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—One is a D.S.P., the other is an Inspector and the third is a lower grade officer.

Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—On whose complaint these proceedings were initiated?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—That again cannot be said.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—There are two aspects to this question. One is the enquiry by the Anti-Corruption Department and the other is the framing of charges. May I know whether the enquiry by the Anti-Corruption Department has been completed or not and whether they have submitted anything with regard to the charges to be framed?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—If my friend is very eager to know, I may tell him that the enquiry by the Anti-Corruption and Efficiency Audit is complete.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—Do Government think that the public interest will be served by delaying like this?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—That again is a matter of opinion.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.—ಜುಡೀಷಿಯಲ್ ಎಕ್ಸ್‌ಕ್ಯುಟಿವ್ ಆಗಿ ದ್ವರ ಪರವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಡಿಪಾರ್ಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಎಕ್ಸ್‌ಕ್ಯುಟಿವ್ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಇಷ್ಟ ಕಾಲ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡರೆ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಏನುಮಾಡುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದೆ.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—What is the opinion of Government?

Mr. SPEAKER.—How can it be asked?

Sri G. ANNA RAO.—May I know when the enquiring authority was appointed and, if so, how many sittings he has held so far?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—It is not in the public interest to disclose how many times he sat.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Has the Officer subjected himself readily to the enquiry or was there prevarication or delay on his part?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—My friend tries to be a lawyer. I cannot give that information.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ವೈ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಈ ಎಕ್ಸ್‌ಕ್ಯುಟಿವ್ ಇಷ್ಟು ತಡವಾಗುವುದರಿಂದ ಅವರು ನಿರ್ದೋಷಿ ಎಂದು ನಿರ್ಣಯವಾದರೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರಿಗೆ ಅಪರಿಮಿತ ಬರ್ಚು ಬಿಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is a hypothetical question.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಕರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ಎಕ್ಸ್‌ಕ್ಯುಟಿವ್ ನಡೆಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಗೊತ್ತುಮಾಡಿದ ಧ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ ಇರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ತವಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಅದನ್ನು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಆಗಲೇ ಹೇಳಿದೆ.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—Will the Government be pleased to place on the Table of the House the entire proceedings of this enquiry?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—The Government cannot do it.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—Is it not a fact that the Government appointed the Home Secretary, Sri Rebello, to conduct the enquiry and whether it is not a fact that this appeared in the press?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I cannot give the answer.

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.—Is it not the Police Department that has framed the charges against this officer?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—My friend is again trying to cross-examine.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—If the officer who was appointed to conduct the enquiry is transferred, may I know the officer who has been appointed to probe into this matter further?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I think Government will know what to do in these matters.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ವೆಂಕಟೇಗೌಡ.—ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಪೊಲೀಸರು ಇಲಾಖೆಯವರಾಗಲಿ ಅಥವಾ ಲಂಚ ನಿರೋಧ ಇಲಾಖೆಯವರಾಗಲಿ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಒದಗಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಏನೇನು ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೋ ಅದನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯಾಗುವಾಗ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ, ಅದನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಮಾಡಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎ. ಮೂಡಲಗಿರಿಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಆಫೀಸರನ್ನು ಎಕ್ಸ್‌ಕ್ಯುಟಿವ್ ಮುಗಿದು ರದಿ ಬರುವವರೆಗೂ ಸಸ್ಪೆಂಡ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರೋ ಅಥವಾ ಪರ್ಮನಂಟಾಗಿ ಸಸ್ಪೆಂಡ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರೋ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಸಸ್ಪೆಂಡ್ ಎಂದರೆನಿ ಪರ್ಮನಂಟ್ ಅಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಅರ್ಥವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎ. ಮೂಡಲಗಿರಿಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಎಕ್ಸ್‌ಕ್ಯುಟಿವ್ ಮುಗಿದು ವರದಿ ಬರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ದಿನವೇ ಹೋಗುತ್ತದೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಅವಶ್ಯಕವಾದಷ್ಟು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕೆಂಚಪ್ಪ.—ಶ್ರೀ ತಿಮ್ಮರಾಜ ಅರಸ್, ಐ. ಜಿ. ಪಿ. ಒಬ್ಬರನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ಸಸ್ಪೆಂಡ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರೋ ಅಥವಾ charges leveled against him ಅವನ್ನು ಸಸ್ಪೆಂಡ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರೋ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I want notice for that question.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.—ರಿಟೈರ್ ಆಗುವ ಸಮಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಎಕ್ಸ್‌ಕ್ಯುಟಿವ್ ಮುಗಿಸಿ ವರದಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I have no idea. My friend seems to be very imaginative.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಕೆ. ದೊಡ್ಡಮೇಟಿ.—ಸಸ್ಪೆಂಡ್ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ತಾರೀಖು ನಡೆದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೋತ್ತರಗಳ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ 56ನೆಯ ಇಸಬಿ ಜೂನ್ 26 ಎಂದಿದೆ, ಇಂಗ್ಲಿಷ್ ಕಾಪಿಯಲ್ಲಿ 57ನೆಯ ಇಸಬಿ ಜೂನ್ 29 ಎಂದಿದೆ, ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದು ಸರಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—1957 ಸರಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ವೆಂಕಟೇಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಎಕ್ಸ್‌ಕ್ಯುಟಿವ್ ಮುಗಿದು ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ತಾವೇ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರೋ ಅಥವಾ ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಬೇಕೋ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ಏನಿದೆ ಅದರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Will the Government give an assurance by what date they will take a final decision in the matter.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—No such assurance.

Sri J. VENKATAPPA.—Rule (58)1 of the Manual states that no officer should be kept for more than one month?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I am not aware of any such rule.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—Are they paid any maintenance allowance or salary during the period of suspension?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—Subsistence allowance is given during the period of suspension.

ಶ್ರೀ. ಎಚ್. ಹೆಚ್. ಮೊಹಿಸಿ.—ತನಿಖೆ ಮಾಡಿ ವರದಿ ಕಳಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಧಿಯನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

Sri G. DUCCAPPA.—Since he is an I.P.S. officer, did the Government consult the Central Government or the Union Home Minister about taking any action?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—Whatever is necessary under the rules framed in this behalf regarding I.P.S. officers is being done.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Question No. 565—III list, Sri B. K. Puttaramaiya.

Sri B. K. PUTTARAMAIYA.—Sir, I do not propose to put the question.

Furniture in the Vidhana Soudha.

Q—622. Sri Y. VEERAPPA (Holenarasipur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether it is a fact that most of the furniture in the Vidhana Soudha is on hired basis;

(b) if so, the amount being spent towards hire charges every month;

(c) whether it is not possible to furnish the Secretariat Offices with Government furniture;

(d) whether they have called for any tender;

(e) if so, when and if not, why;

(f) the person who is supplying the said furniture;

(g) whether they have consulted the Stores Purchase Committee in the matter;

(h) the total amount spent so far and the expenditure involved annually?

A.—Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—

(a) No. But a part of furniture has been hired in order to meet the sudden increase in requirement consequent on the formation of the new Secretariat.

(b) The figure varies as articles are returned. Rs. 3,400 per month (approximately) from November 1956 to March 1957 and Rs. 2,300 per month (approximately) from April 1957.

(c) Not at present. Hired furniture is being replaced by Government furniture as and when supplies become available.

(d) Tenders have been called for labour portion of work only for manufacture of furniture. Quotations for hire of furniture were obtained.

(e) In the month of November 1956.

(f) A portion of the requirements is manufactured departmentally through the Vidhana Soudha Division of the P. W. D. Orders have been placed for the supply of the remaining portion with the Central Jail, Bangalore and Chamarajendra Technical Institute.

Furniture referred to (a) has been hired from Messrs. Saleh Ahmad.

(g) It was not necessary as the supply agencies were institutions under Government so far as manufacture was concerned. The S.P.C. is not required to be consulted regarding hire of furniture.

(h) The amount so far spent for the purchase of furniture is Rs. 85,185. The total amount spent on hire of furniture from November 1956 to July 1957 is Rs. 26,260.

Sri Y. VEERAPPA.—With reference to (d), may I know from how many persons quotations were received?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Quotations for what?

Sri Y. VEERAPPA.—Quotations for the supply of furniture on hire.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—The question is whether they have called for any tender. Tenders have been called for the labour used because Government have got some seasoned wood in the Public Works Department; therefore they have called for tenders for labour in some cases and in cases where it is not possible to get sufficient wood, they have also called for quotations.

Mr. SPEAKER.—He wants to know from how many persons you have called for tenders in the case of hired furniture?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—For hiring furniture I am not aware because there is a gentleman who has been hiring furniture from a long time. Furniture was hired from him. His name is Sri Sale Ahmed.

Sri Y. VEERAPPA.—Would it not be possible to call for quotations from any other dealer to supply furniture on hire?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—That was done possibly just before I took office. I cannot give definite information. That gentleman has been hiring furniture for a long time. Before the hiring was made, I am sure necessary enquiries would have been made.

Sri Y. VEERAPPA.—With reference to the answer to b), may I know from whom the furniture was purchased?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—Some of the articles of furniture have been got made departmentally to the extent of about Rs. 18,000.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—On a point of order. The question is very specific, that is whether they have called for any tender. The Chief Minister was pleased to say that he cannot give that information. When they had notice of such a question and when the question is very specific and not general, is it open to the Chief Minister to say that particular information is not available?

Mr. SPEAKER.—There is no point of order in that. The Hon'ble Member is putting a question. It may be answered.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—Question (c) runs like this: "whether it is not possible to furnish the Secretariat Offices with Government furniture." It is to supply furniture and not for hire.

The question leads me at least to presume that the tender is for the supply of furniture with reference to (c).

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—I just want to know if the Government have any estimate for the supply of the entire furniture that they require for the Secretariat in addition to the furniture for which they have already spent some money.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—The estimate has been for Rs. 1.85 lakhs and so far Government have got furniture up to the extent of about Rs. 80,000.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—Does this sum of Rs. 1.85 lakhs include the value of the materials—timber and other things that the Government have already with them?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—It is for the entire thing. That includes the value of the materials also.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—There is a little confusion about the answers given. What is the total value of the furniture for which tenders were called for, for purchase and for hire separately, because the answer is that furniture of the value of Rupees one lakh and odd has been ordered for. Was it the quotation for the entire furniture or partly for hire or purchase of furniture; if so, how much for purchase and how much for hire?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—As I have submitted, I am not aware of any estimate regarding hire; it is regarding supply that I have answered.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Is it not the duty of the Government to call for quotations where heavy amounts like this are involved, even in respect of hiring out furniture?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—It is a suggestion for action.

Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—The Hon'ble the Chief Minister was pleased to say that to his knowledge the tenders for hire were not called for...

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I did not say that; I said I am not aware.

Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—But in answer to (d) it is said that quotations for hire were obtained.

Mr. SPEAKER.—There is reply in clause (d);

Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—In answer to clause (d) it is stated "Tenders have been called for labour portion of work only for manufacture of furniture. Quotations for hire of furniture were obtained."

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—That was very much prior to 1-11-1956.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Was the tender offered by Saleh Ahmed the lowest?

Mr. SPEAKER.—It has been answered already that it was long before the Hon'ble the Chief Minister took office.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Information must be available in the Secretariat.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—If my friend puts a question I shall be able to answer.

Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—Who were all the others that submitted quotations for the supply of furniture on hire?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I want notice.

Entrusting Prohibition Work to the Staff of the Excise Department.

Q.—701 Sri D. ABDUL RASHID (Kolar).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

whether it is under their consideration to entrust prohibition work to the Intelligence Staff of the Excise Department in the State?

A.—Sri MOHAMED ALI (Minister for Excise and Rural Industries).—

No.

Sri D. ABDUL RASHID.—Are the staff of the Excise Intelligence Bureau prohibited from detecting cases in the prohibition area?

***Sri MOHAMED ALI.**—There is no such Intelligence Staff there.

Sri D. ABDUL RASHID.—Why are they not detecting cases in the prohibition area?

Sri MOHAMED ALI.—I have replied just now that there is no such Intelligence Staff of the Central Government attached to the Excise Department.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Has it come to the notice of the Government that most of the Police Officers who were entrusted with the task of detecting cases have no faith in Prohibition?

Sri MOHAMED ALI.—No, it has not come to the notice of the Government.

Proposal to revise Bus Fare in the Government Transport Service.

Q.—776 Sri G. SIVAPPA (Chitaldrug).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

whether they propose to revise bus fares?

A.—Sri C. M. POONACHA (Minister for Home Affairs and Industries).—

Yes.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—May I know the date from which you are going to change the fares?

***Sri C. M. POONACHA.**—Government issued a notification on 30th May 1957 proposing certain changes in the fare structure of the buses plying in the State and objections were called for and subsequently after going through all those things a final notification has since been issued. After the issue of the final notification it will be referred to the State Transport Authority but under the notification actual fares will be fixed and then intimated to the bus operators to adopt those fares. So, that stage of the work is pending with the State Transport Authority.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—May I know whether sufficient number of objections has been received?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—We received only one set of objections from Gajanana Transport Co. They were duly considered before the issue of the final notification.

*Asterisk indicates that the remarks or speeches have not been revised by the Members concerned.